

SUMMER ACADEMY GRANT PROPOSAL REVIEWER RATING FORM

Academy Name: _____

Commuter - Residential (indicate one)

Points will be awarded for each item based on the following scale:

In the proposal this area needs development=1, sometimes meets expectations=2, meets expectations=3, sometimes exceeds expectations=4, always exceeds expectations=5. Sections worth 10 points can use this scale but double point value (2, 4, 6, 8, or 10).

Awarded	Possible	Alignment with Summer Academy Priorities and Guidelines – Total of 35 points
	5	The goal of the Academy is clearly stated, consistent with the Summer Academy Priorities and Guidelines, and appropriate for targeted students with particular emphasis on those most likely to be underserved in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM).
	5	The Academy daily schedule provides stimulating, challenging and relevant STEM learning opportunities, including hands-on and experimentation. Priority 1, 1a
	5	Academy activities are designed to develop student awareness about the need to plan for college through information about college admissions, OK Promise, OKCollegestart.org, Pell Grants, and other opportunities. Priority 2b
	5	The Academy fully exposes student participants to the experience of living on a college campus or attending as a commuter student. Priority 2c
	5	The proposal links success in college with accomplishment in Academy STEM activities and eventual high school core course-taking. Priority 1b, 2a
	5	The proposal provides opportunities for exploration of careers and areas of study at post-secondary institutions. Priority 2a, 2c
	5	The proposal demonstrates partnerships with Oklahoma business and industry and/or relevant community and nonprofit organizations through which relevant work experiences are brought to students. Priority 2c

Comments:

Awarded	Possible	Administrative Plan – Total of 20 points
	5	Key personnel are identified with their qualifications and Academy position included.
	5	Appropriate expertise (higher education faculty, K-12 teachers, industry experts, and high school/college students) is available to support the proposed activities.
	5	Adequate facilities have been arranged to accommodate instruction and lodging (if residential).
	5	The proposal contains a plan for supervision of students.

Comments:

Awarded	Possible	Recruitment and Selection of Participants – Total of 20 points
	10	The recruitment and selection process is clearly described, consistent with Summer Academy Priorities and Guidelines and equitable to all students.
	5	The student application process is clear and is not cumbersome for underserved students.
	5	The Academy Overview is engaging and exciting in order to appeal to targeted students.

Comments:

Awarded	Possible	Budget – Total of 10 points
	5	The budget is aligned with the Summer Academy Guidelines and Procedures.
	5	The budget is appropriate for the scope of the proposal and demonstrates institutional support and leveraging of other funding sources.

Comments:

Awarded	Possible	Project Evaluation – Total of 10 points
	5	The proposed project outcomes and their assessments are clearly identified and sound.
	5	The methods and timelines for data collection, program review, and dissemination are clearly specified.

Comments:

Awarded	Possible	Proposal Quality – Total of 5 points
	5	The proposal is well written and organized. Directions were followed. Deadlines were met.

Comments:

	100	TOTAL EVALUATION SCORE
--	------------	-------------------------------

Comments: